UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/696,515 | 10/29/2003 | Ahmad Akashe | 77012 | 6360 |
| 48940 7590 08/31/2007 FITCH EVEN TABIN & FLANNERY | | | EXAMINER | |
| 120 S. LASALLE STREET SUITE 1600 | | | PADEN, CAROLYN A | |
| | CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1761 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/31/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/696,515 | AKASHE ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Carolyn A. Paden | 1761 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMI 36(a). In no event, however, m will apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to become | JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | • | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 July 2007. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 8-20 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex- | epted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected in ab or b) | eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic 3) Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | Paper 5) Notice | ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application | | | | |

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson (4,415,596) alone or if necessary in view of Candy for reasons of record.

Applicant argues that the claims are directed to a product made by a method where soy-containing material is co-carmelized with sugar.

Applicant also argues that neither Anderson or Candy disclose this feature. This argument has been considered but is not persuasive. The claims are directed to a product and not to a process of making a product. Anderson clearly treats the problem of the off-flavor of soybean by his process (column 2, lines 53-60) and provides for a caramel product. The fact that a different process is used to make the product does alone constitute unobviousness. The protein content in Anderson (column 4, lines 60-63) falls within the range of the claims. Also the fat content in Anderson (column 3, lines 31-34) falls within the range of the claims. A carmelized product is produced in Anderson with heat. So one of ordinary skill in the

art would expect the moisture content in Anderson to fall within the range of the claims. Applicant refers to the specific heating conditions, which are process limitations, carrying no weight in product claims.

Page 3

Claims 8-20 are allowed.

The rejection of the claims over Rash in view of Yang and further in view of Candy has been withdrawn for the reasons argued by applicant.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,515

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone

number is (571) 272-1403. The examiner can normally be reached on

Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks, can be reached on (571) 272-1401 or by dialing 571-272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CAROLYN PADEN 3-PRIMARY EXAMINER ** Page 4

GROUP 1300-17 (p.)